1.)  In the cartoon, I see a judge talking to a person who looks like he’s being asked questions for a testimony on a case. The judge talks to the witness on swearing his truth in the name of God, and placing his right hand to the bible as a way of promise. The key ideas that I found in this cartoon are “under God”, “Bible”, and “God”. I also found the American flag which represents the United States.

2.)The key person that’s being targeted in this cartoon is the witness. He’s being told by the judge that under the ‘unconstitutional sanctioning” he needs to swear his truth to God and the Bible.

3.)The artist depicted the judge big and plump, which we think states that he’s of a higher position than the witness which is skinny and weak; showing he’s of a lower position than him.

4.)The symbols that are incorporated in this cartoon are the American flag, and the Bible. The American flag represents that this is being held in United States, and the Bible represents the witnesses belief/religion.

5.)I think what this text meant is that the judge argues about the witness on saying “under God”, because it’s an unconstitutional sanctioning of religion. What the judge meant by this is that not all people in America is not religious, and not all believes in God. However, the judge asks the witness on placing his hand on the bible to swear to God on telling the truth, which contradicts his statement from earlier; because he was just talking about “under God” is unconstitutional.

6.) I think what the artist is attempting to trigger is that America is known as the “land of the free”, and we are free to do whatever we believe what we want, and for the judge to be saying “under God” is unconstitutional, and asking him to place his hand on the belief goes against the identity of the United States.

a.) Irony is being used in this cartoon, because the judge was saying to the witness that “under God” is unconstitutional, but then asks the witness to place his hand on the Bible which contradicts his statement. Also America is also known to be “the land of the free”, and for the judge to be disowning someone’s beliefs is not what judges are supposed to do. Judges are supposed to be just and right, and in this case that’s not being shown, making it an irony.